

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/822,234	CUDDIHY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Son M. Tang	2612	

**All Participants:**

(1) Son M. Tang.

(2) Jenifer Haeckl (Attorney).

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 August 2006

**Time:** 1:00pm.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-2,8,11,15-19 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Ichikawa [US 5,153,560]


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)